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Overview (U)

- (U) Setting the Stage
  - Strategic Surprise, Priority Needs, Definitions

- (U) Making Things Measurable
  - Emerging Technology Discovery
  - Technology Use Discovery

- (U) Challenges
  - Complexity
  - Getting data is only step 1
  - Visualization
  - Building outreach and engagement
CT Trends Focus Questions (U)

(U) Does NSA CT know what technologies, communications products and applications, and modus operandi are being used by terrorists, terrorist groups, or in locations of interest?

(U) Does NSA CT know what emerging technologies, communications products and applications, and modus operandi are likely to be used by terrorists, terrorist groups, or in locations of interest?

Prevent Strategic Surprise
CT Trends Focus Questions (U)

(U) Does NSA CT know what technologies, communications products and applications, and modus operandi are being used by terrorists, terrorist groups, or in locations of interest?

(U) Does NSA CT know what emerging technologies, communications products and applications, and modus operandi are likely to be used by terrorists, terrorist groups, or in locations of interest?

(C//REL) What we're really asking is:
Can we tell which ones are likely to become a priority need?
Risk Management for SIGINT Threats (U)

- (S//REL) Threat to SIGINT Capability
  - A behavior or technology that has the potential to have a negative impact on NSA’s capability to provide SIGINT on a Terrorism Target

- (U) Use Risk
  - The possibility that a particular threat will be adopted by Terrorist targets

- (S//REL) Indications and Warning
  - Early warning of high impact threats to prevent surprise to key stakeholders and reduce risk from Terrorist adoption of technology that would adversely affect SIGINT production

(S//REL) NSA’s ability to manage risk is directly proportional to our ability to detect threats
The data-driven approach (U)

“Count what is countable, measure what is measurable, and make measurable that which cannot be measured”

Galileo (17th century astronomer)

“When you can measure what you are speaking about, and express it in numbers, you know something about it; but when you cannot measure it, when you cannot express it in numbers, your knowledge is of a meagre and unsatisfactory kind”

Lord Kelvin (discovered absolute zero)

“You cannot manage what you cannot measure”

Bill Hewlett (co-founder of Hewlett-Packard)

“Not everything that counts can be counted, and not everything that can be counted counts”

- Albert Einstein
So... what is a (CT) trend? (U)

A trend is a *measurement of occurrence*

(S//REL) Comparing the behavior of a *single* target...
- Pattern-of-life
- Modus Operandi
- Technology Usage

...to the behaviors seen within the *target space*
- Multiple targets, *within and across the entire CT enterprise*
- Over a period of time
Prediction and Identification of Priority Needs Prevents Strategic Surprise (U)

Identify issues that are *emerging into* and *rising within* the target space.
Making Things Measurable (U)

Emerging Technologies

Technical Thought Leaders

Technologies in Use
Innovation Phases (U)

Adoption

Experimentation

Interest
Technology Adoption Factors (U)

- Availability
- Vested
- Authority
- Trusted Source
- Availability of
Optics (U)

- (S//REL) Optic #1: Emerging Technology Discovery
  - Focused primarily on interest and experimentation phases of innovation
  - Watching the Watchers
  - Weaker indicators
  - *New technologies*

- (S//REL) Optic #2: Technology Use Discovery
  - Focused primarily on adoption phase of innovation
  - Owning the Known
  - Stronger indicators
  - *New targets*
## Analytics and Processes (U)

### Emerging Technologies

- Production Element Scanning Note Project
- FORTREND - Extremist Technical Sub-Forum
- Administrative Response Technology Use Discovery
- Forensics
- Seized Media Trends Tracking
- CNE Trend Tracking and Discovery
- New User
- Top X - Active
- Technology Pattern of Life Analytic (Automated)
- Mobile Handset

### Both Products

- Production Element Scanning Note Project
- FORTREND - Extremist Technical Sub-Forum
- Administrative Response Technology Use Discovery
- Forensics
- Seized Media Trends Tracking
- CNE Trend Tracking and Discovery
- New User
- Top X - Active
- Technology Pattern of Life Analytic (Automated)
- Mobile Handset

### Technology

- Production Element Scanning Note Project
- FORTREND - Extremist Technical Sub-Forum
- Administrative Response Technology Use Discovery
- Forensics
- Seized Media Trends Tracking
- CNE Trend Tracking and Discovery
- New User
- Top X - Active
- Technology Pattern of Life Analytic (Automated)
- Mobile Handset
Optic #1: Emerging Technology Discovery (U)

- (S//SI//REL) Emerging Technology & Behavior Discovery
  - Detection of *interest, experimentation, knowledge transfer* or *direction* using content, metrics approaches
  - Currently using deskside & virtual engagement to leverage TOPI analyst initiative to discover, prioritize, and work against “*strongest*” indicators
  - Leverages inherent TOPI expertise and functions of traffic processing/translation/tasking etc..
  - Embedded analysts, virtual relationships: production “customers”
  - Currently identifying, tracking ‘technical’ thought leaders
  - Technical sub-forums, scanning notes measurements
  - Administrative emails (No-Reply etc..)
  - Forum links, uploaded/downloaded files

**Goal:** *Generate Prioritized Input (techs/behaviors) for Research*
Optic #2: Technology Use Discovery (U)

(S//SI//REL) Technology Use and Behavior Discovery

- “Stratactical” data sets
- Includes target-specific data point for each item (e.g. selector)

- Discovery of target behavior by identifying technology use patterns, trends, and/or anomalies in:
  - User-agents (browsers, OS, devices)
  - Tasking (new tasking, total tasking)
  - Network, Protocol usage (Active User metrics)
  - Visited URLs, web searches
  - Process lists, pre-fetch logs, registry entries, software logs
  - Hardware usage (smartphones, tablets, SD cards)

- Currently using various tools (XKEYSCORE, SEEKER, BIONICTURTLE, JEMA, JOLLYROGER, MARINA, TUNINGFORK, QFDs, etc…) and approaches with multiple cloud analytics in varying stages of development and/or planning

**Goal: Generate Prioritized Input (techs/behaviors) for Research**
Measurement Drives Research (U)

(S//REL) Triage begins with target indicators of a new technology

Derived from either optic: Emerging or Use Discovery
   Interest, Experimentation, Use, Knowledge Transfer, Metric, etc...

Target  Technology  Do other targets use this technology?

This is the central defining question for Trends Analysis:

Do other CT targets use this technology?
## Weak vs. Strong Indicators: Brutal Triage (U)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weak</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Strong</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received a</td>
<td>Received a</td>
<td>Received a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exper</td>
<td>Exper</td>
<td>Exper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous/Low</td>
<td>Previous/Low</td>
<td>Previous/Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installed, no</td>
<td>Installed, no</td>
<td>Installed, no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hig</td>
<td>Hig</td>
<td>Hig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log files, traffic</td>
<td>Log files, traffic</td>
<td>Log files, traffic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Wicked Problem Aspect (U)

(S//REL) **Defining the problem** is the first (wicked) problem

- **Triage Stage 1**
  - Initial priority: (single) target + initial understanding of technology
  - **Implications Research**
    - What does the product/service do?
    - Current NSA capabilities to detect, collect, exploit, analyze?
    - Do any other CT targets use it?
  - **Triage Stage 2**
    - Updated priority: target(s) + updated understanding of tech/USSS
  - **Validated Next Steps**
    - As needed: capabilities/access development requirements
    - Reporting: internal, CIR, e-gram; Gaps report; prioritization w/in tech category
Goal: Periodic Reporting Vehicle (U)

- (U//FOUO) Move beyond ad hoc task responses to routine deliverables

- (U//FOUO) Overcoming volume challenge
  - Huge variety of inputs, massive numbers in each
  - Prioritization
  - Visualization

- (S//REL) Moving threats to a simple Risk Assessment model
  - Borrows methodology from models used for executive purposes elsewhere in agency
  - (FAMT, Geopolitical Technology Trends Matrix, TAO...)
  - Opportunities, threats handled separately
## Capabilities Development Risk Matrix (II)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact &gt; to production Use Risk V</th>
<th>TRIVIAL</th>
<th>MINOR</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>MAJOR</th>
<th>CATASTROPHIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Highest Priority Target Use</td>
<td>Document tracking</td>
<td>Fivewes, Facebook chat presentation</td>
<td>Mail.ru, TeamViewer, Join.me</td>
<td>OTR, Tor, Smartphones, Zoho.com webmail, TrueCrypt</td>
<td>Tor+ Trilight Zone + Cspace + ZRTP VoIP client on Linux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Operational Target Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Low Priority/Previous Higher Priority Target Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Thought Leader Recommendations, Experimentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Capabilities Development Risk Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact &gt; Use Risk</th>
<th>TRIVIAL</th>
<th>MINOR</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>MAJOR</th>
<th>CATASTROPHIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to production</td>
<td>Loss/lack of insight to small aspect of target communications, presence</td>
<td>Loss/lack of insight to significant aspect of target communications, presence</td>
<td>Loss/lack of insight to large component of target communications, presence</td>
<td>Loss/lack of insight to majority of target communications, presence</td>
<td>Near-total loss/lack of insight to target communications, presence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Highest Priority Target Use</th>
<th>Document tracking</th>
<th>Fivewes, Facebook chat presentation</th>
<th>Mail.ru, TeamViewer, Join.me</th>
<th>OTR, Tor, Smartphones, Fastmail, TrueCrypt</th>
<th>Tor+ Trilight Zone + Cspace + ZRTP VoIP client on Linux</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Operational Target Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Low Priority/Previous Higher Priority Target Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Thought Leader Recommendations, Experimentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(TS//SI//REL) With rare exceptions, application-specific solutions are only built based on these two criteria.
Capability Development Challenges (U)

(TS//SI//REL) With rare exceptions, application-specific solutions are only built based on these two criteria????

- In resource-restrained environment, development of capabilities against *likely-to-increase in priority* applications is *trumped* by standing requirements driven by *known priority* applications

- Capabilities development response to current/priority technology threats occurs normally w/in existing resources – but response does not scale, either to the industry or to multiple crises
## Simplifying the Risk Matrix (U)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>TRIVIAL</th>
<th>MINOR</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>MAJOR</th>
<th>CATASTROPHIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; to production</td>
<td>Loss/lack of insight to small aspect of target communications, presence</td>
<td>Loss/lack of insight to significant aspect of target communications, presence</td>
<td>Loss/lack of insight to large component of target communications, presence</td>
<td>Loss/lack of insight to majority of target communications, presence</td>
<td>Near-total loss/lack of insight to target communications, presence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Quadrants

- **2nd Quadrant**
  - Current Highest Priority Target Use
  - Current Operational Target Use

- **1st Quadrant**
  - Current Low Priority/Previous Higher Priority Target Use
  - Technical Thought Leader Recommendations, Experimentation

- **4th Quadrant**
  - Technical Thought Leader Recommendations, Experimentation
  - Experimentation

- **3rd Quadrant**
  - Technical Thought Leader Recommendations, Experimentation
  - Experimentation
# Adding in the Solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>TRIVIAL</th>
<th>MINOR</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>MAJOR</th>
<th>CATASTROPHIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use Risk</td>
<td>Automated solutions not required; manual workflows sufficient: XKS fingerprint</td>
<td>Minimum automated solutions required: realm creation, simple extraction, presentation</td>
<td>Significant, routine capdev required: STARPROC capability, CES detectors, endpoint characterization</td>
<td>Requires focused inter-office capdev: Lead/Program manager needed, SPF required, inter-office/2p/3p working group</td>
<td>Requires SID-level attention: FAMT, LAE; WPMO, AMOD portfolio integration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2nd Quadrant | 1st Quadrant | 3rd Quadrant | 4th Quadrant

**Current Highest Priority Target Use**

**Current Operational Target Use**

**Current Low Priority/Previous Higher Priority Target Use**

**Technical Thought Leader Recommendations, Experimentation**
### Examples: Jan-February 2012

#### (TS//SI//REL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact &gt; to production Use Risk V</th>
<th>TRIVIAL</th>
<th>MINOR</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>MAJOR</th>
<th>CATASTROPHIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss/lack of insight to small aspect of target communications, presence</td>
<td>Loss/lack of insight to significant aspect of target communications, presence</td>
<td>Loss/lack of insight to large component of target communications, presence</td>
<td>Loss/lack of insight to majority of target communications, presence</td>
<td>Near-total loss/lack of insight to target communications, presence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Highest Priority Target Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TeamViewer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Join.Me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaplinkGold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Operational Target Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MuslimaPurematrimony.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zemana Anti-Keylogger</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Low Priority/Previous Higher Priority Target Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TrueCrypt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAILS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Thought Leader Recommendations, Experimentation, Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Web.de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cspace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redphone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Note:** The table entries and categories are placeholders and should be replaced with actual data and classifications.
Goal: Emerging Technology Snapshot (U)

- (U) Executive version – snapshot of top items only

- (S//REL) Overcoming the challenges of prioritization and volume is still only 50% of the problem

- (S//REL) Stated Preference:
  - Breakdowns by target/target set
  - Preserve opportunity vs. threat
  - Identify HUMINT sources for collaboration
## Emerging Technology Snapshot (U)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target/Org</th>
<th>Tech</th>
<th>Quadrant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AQSL courier</td>
<td>TAILS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIMF</td>
<td>TAILS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQ media</td>
<td>TrueCrypt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2I42</td>
<td>Join.Me</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT, S2I42</td>
<td>TeamViewer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT</td>
<td>Laplink</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTL</td>
<td>Extremist version of Tor</td>
<td>Opportunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQ media</td>
<td>Encrypted Webmail</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(TS//SI//REL) Full details available as needed
Emerging Technology Snapshot (U)

- (S//REL) Monthly Emerging Technology Snapshot
  - 1-3 page Snapshot (6 page max if previous month data included) to CT leadership
  - Snapshot + supporting full data to MICROEXSPANSE

- Underlying processes in alpha stage

- Stopgap until maturation of multiple efforts
  - Data Explorer, ECHOBASE
  - Inclusion of FAA/PRISM in GM-Halo
End Results – Tactical & Strategic (U)

- (S//REL) Tactical Outcomes
  - Lead Generation
  - Target Development
  - Target Discovery
  - Behavior Detection
  - Access Prioritization

- (S//REL) Strategic Outcomes
  - Prioritization for **Capabilities Development**
  - Driven by target priority: single target + volume of targets
  - Prioritized within tech category, target (set) category
  - Overall CT product line prioritization
Challenges (U)

- (C//REL) Complexity
  - Understand target, technology, & SIGINT system

- (S//SI//REL) Getting data is only step 1
  - Getting a data set is like to getting a new bearer to analyze

- (U) Visualization
  - Excel tops out at a million rows...

- (TS//SI//REL) Clean data
  - Targets vs. Selectors
Overcoming Complexity (U)

SIGINT System

Fingerspitzengefühl
- Literally “fingertip feeling”
- Empathy, sensitivity, tact
- Ability of military commanders to react rapidly

CT Trends Team:
- SIGDEV analysts
- Partner/Enablers

Must understand tech threat implications, provenance and structure of data to manipulate, interpret it
Getting Data is Step 1 (U)
Getting Data is Step 1 (U)

Every Step Takes Time, Effort, Tools and Most Importantly: People to Do the Work
Getting Data is Step 1 (U)

Be customer driven. The ability to get data is endless. The ability to do work isn’t.
Visualization (U)

(TS//SI//REL) Excel tops out at a million rows...

- 19 branches, 30+ target sets, ~200 realms, ~800 domains, ~45000 selectors = 1 million rows/~2.5 weeks for summarized active user events from EO12333 alone
- Spreadsheets are good, but not everyone knows how to use a pivot table
- Each dataset can easily provide 4-5 or more pivoted looks for each branch/target set = minimum 100-150 slides

(S//REL) Intent is to routinely produce multiple large datasets on a monthly basis for collection management, research purposes.
Visualization (U)

(S//REL) Analysts work at the selector level

- Leadership wants data presented at the target level

(S//REL) Automated population of technology, behavior information in analyst workflow tools, databases

(S//REL) Each separate visualization task takes manpower, time away from operational analysis
Clean Data (U)

- (S//SI//REL) Metrics will only provide a near-accurate picture: ground truth will always be the domain of the TOPI and based on content

- (S//SI//REL) Some selectors (accurately) map to multiple targets, multiple teams, multiple organizations

- (S//SI//REL) Some selectors simply don’t have a known target, only a target set

- (S//REL) Need to correlate across widely different datasets requires creation of normalized bridge datasets (e.g. comparing executables to domains)

- (S//SI//REL) TKB/UTT are victims of years of “fill in the blank” freeform data entry; very slowly being addressed (~2015?)
Rising Strategic Issues (U)

- (TS//SI//REL) Encrypted Webmail Services
  - Atabmail, Zoho, Safe-mail, Fastmail, HMA Mail

- (TS//SI//REL) Remote Desktop Viewers/Remote Access Tools
  - TeamViewer, Join.me, Cybergate

- (TS//SI//REL) Aggregators/Over-the-Top Messaging Services
  - WhatsApp, Nimbuzz, eBuddy
What Next? (U)

- (S//SI//REL) Continue to build, strengthen, expand:
  - internal workflows, research and discovery capabilities
  - collaboration with production elements
    - Operational support via embedded analysts at NSA
    - Tradecraft, technical support virtually with extended enterprise
  - partnerships with FVEY SIGDEV community
    - Establish and expand dialogue opportunities
    - “Failure Sharing” – tradecraft sharing and operational deconfliction

- (S//REL) Technology Trends MyNoc
fin

Question s?

Comment s?